IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE TWENTY FIFTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

**THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K.C. BHANU**

**WRIT PETITION NO : 9243 of 2005**

Between:

Kotari Tatabbai S/o.Naganna
R/o.Jaddangi Village Rajavommangi (M) E.G.District.

**..... PETITIONER**

AND

1 The Addl.agent to the Govt., E.G.District at Rampachodavaram.
2 The Spl. Deputy Collector (Tw) Rampachodavaram, E.G.District.
3 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Rajavommangi, E.G.District.
4 The Spl. Deputy Tahsildar Rajavommangi, E.G.District.
5 Gorle Kasulu, S/o.Pedabbai R/o.Jaddangi Village
Rajavommangi (M) E.G.District.

**.....RESPONDENT(S)**

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue appropriate writ or order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus declaring the action of the respondents in evicting the petitioner from the land to an extent of Ac.2.38 cents and situated in Sy.Nos.489/1 and 492 of Jaddangi Village, Rajavommangi Mandal, E.G.District in pursuance of the order passed by the 2nd respondent in L.T.R.P.No 75/2004 as illegal, arbitrary and unjust and consequently direct the respondents 2 and 3 not to evict the petitioner from the above land pending disposal of the appeal in C.M.A.No.9/2005 preferred before the 1st respondent.

**Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.K.VENKATESH**

**Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 to 4: GP FOR SOCIAL WELFARE**

**Counsel for the Respondent No.5:**

**The Court at the admission stage made the following O R D E R:**

The petitioner challenges the order passed in LTRP. No.75 of 2004 dated 18.11.2004 in appeal before the first respondent along with an application for stay. As the first respondent has not passed any orders on the stay application, the petitioner filed the present writ petition.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader for Social Welfare appearing for the respondents.

Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction that till the application for stay is taken up for consideration and disposed of by the first respondent, status quo obtaining as on today as regards possession shall continue to be maintained. No order as to costs.

_____

(K.C.BHANU,J)

Dt:25th April, 2004

usd

To

1 The Addl.agent to the Govt., E.G.District at Rampachodavaram.
2 The Spl. Deputy Collector (Tw) Rampachodavaram, E.G.District.
3 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Rajavommangi, E.G.District.
4 The Spl. Deputy Tahsildar Rajavommangi, E.G.District.
5 Two CCs to G.P. for Social Welfare, High Court of A.P., Hyderabad (OUT).

6 1 CC to MR.VENKATESH

7 Two CD copies.